

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,504	01/15/2004	Robert Beach	AP35641 - 072797.0268	6158
21003	7590 01/26/2005		EXAMINER	
BAKER & BOTTS 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			MILORD, MARCEAU	
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2682	

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			what '
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/758,504	BEACH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marceau Milord	2682	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	≎ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ja	anuary 2004.		
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	•	*	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.[). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 January 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ c drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). I(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	' '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been t (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Gummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/758,504

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-13, 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Connolly et al (US Patent No 6764012 B2) in view of Holmes et al (US Patent No 6636749 B2).

Regarding claims 1-5, Connolly et al discloses a wireless data communications device (figs. 16-17), arranged to be installed in a light fixture having a lamp socket for receiving a lamp (col. 9, lines 2-56), comprising: a housing containing a wireless data communications radio arranged to communicate with mobile units and other fixed wireless communications devices forming a data communications network (col. 5, lines 26-46; col. 8, lines 19-33).

Connolly et al does not specifically disclose the feature of a connector on a housing arranged to engage said lamp socket on said light fixture; a socket on said housing arranged to receive a connector of a lamp and connected to receive power from said connector on said

housing; and a power supply in said housing arranged to receive power from said connector on said housing and provide power to said wireless data communications radio.

On the other hand, Holmes et al, from the same field of endeavor, discloses a wireless phone that includes an antenna, which allows wireless communication between the wireless phone and a base station, access point or other components of a wireless or cellular network. The connection device provides both a power connection and an audio connection between the vehicle and the wireless phone. The connection device includes a vehicle adapter, a Bluetooth module, a power cord, and a phone power connector. The vehicle adapter is a cigarette lighter adapter, which is adapted to plug in to the cigarette lighter socket in an automobile or other vehicle so as to receive DC power from the automobile (figs. 1-4;col. 4, lines 1-61). Furthermore, the vehicle adapter is connected to the main cord, where the main cord includes components to transmit power from the vehicle adapter to the wireless phone and to transmit audio signals back and forth between the Bluetooth module located in the vehicle adapter and the wireless phone (col. 5, line 2- col. 6, line 65; col. 7, lin 9- col. 8, line 65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Holmes to the communication system of Connolly in order to allow a user the flexibility to use a connection device to provide both electrical power for a wireless phone and Bluetooth-capability for wireless communication with Bluetooth device.

Regarding claims 6-11, Connolly as applied to claim 5 above differs from claims 6-11 in the present invention, in that Connolly fails to disclose a radio that communicates with mobile units using IEEE Standard 802.11 protocol; wherein said radio communicates with mobile units using Bluetooth protocol.

However, Holmes et al discloses a wireless phone that includes an antenna, which allows wireless communication between the wireless phone and a base station, access point or other components of a wireless or cellular network. The connection device provides both a power connection and an audio connection between the vehicle and the wireless phone. The connection device includes a vehicle adapter, a Bluetooth module, a power cord, and a phone power connector. The vehicle adapter is a cigarette lighter adapter, which is adapted to plug in to the cigarette lighter socket in an automobile or other vehicle so as to receive DC power from the automobile (figs. 1-4;col. 4, lines 1-61). Furthermore, the vehicle adapter is connected to the main cord, where the main cord includes components to transmit power from the vehicle adapter to the wireless phone and to transmit audio signals back and forth between the Bluetooth module located in the vehicle adapter and the wireless phone (col. 5, line 2- col. 6, line 65; col. 7, lin 9col. 8, line 65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Holmes to the communication system of Connolly in order to allow a user the flexibility to use a connection device to provide both electrical power for a wireless phone and Bluetooth-capability for wireless communication with Bluetooth device.

Regarding claims 12-13, 21, Connolly et al discloses a wireless data communications device (figs. 16-17), arranged to be installed in a light fixture having a lamp socket (col. 9, lines 2-56), comprising: a housing containing a wireless data communications radio arranged to communicate with mobile units and other fixed wireless communications devices forming a data communications network (col. 5, lines 26-46; col. 8, lines 19-33).

Connolly et al does not specifically disclose the feature of a connector on a housing arranged to engage said lamp socket on said light fixture; and a power supply in said housing arranged to receive power from said connector on said housing and provide power to said wireless data communications radio.

On the other hand, Holmes et al, from the same field of endeavor, discloses a wireless phone that includes an antenna, which allows wireless communication between the wireless phone and a base station, access point or other components of a wireless or cellular network. The connection device provides both a power connection and an audio connection between the vehicle and the wireless phone. The connection device includes a vehicle adapter, a Bluetooth module, a power cord, and a phone power connector. The vehicle adapter is a cigarette lighter adapter, which is adapted to plug in to the cigarette lighter socket in an automobile or other vehicle so as to receive DC power from the automobile (figs. 1-4;col. 4, lines 1-61). Furthermore, the vehicle adapter is connected to the main cord, where the main cord includes components to transmit power from the vehicle adapter to the wireless phone and to transmit audio signals back and forth between the Bluetooth module located in the vehicle adapter and the wireless phone (col. 5, line 2- col. 6, line 65; col. 7, lin 9- col. 8, line 65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Holmes to the communication system of Connolly in order to allow a user the flexibility to use a connection device to provide both electrical power for a wireless phone and Bluetooth-capability for wireless communication with Bluetooth device.

Regarding claims 15-20, Connolly as applied to claim 14 above differs from claims 15-20 in the present invention, in that Connolly fails to disclose a radio that communicates with mobile

units using IEEE Standard 802.11 protocol; wherein said radio communicates with mobile units using Bluetooth protocol.

However, Holmes et al discloses a wireless phone that includes an antenna, which allows wireless communication between the wireless phone and a base station, access point or other components of a wireless or cellular network. The connection device provides both a power connection and an audio connection between the vehicle and the wireless phone. The connection device includes a vehicle adapter, a Bluetooth module, a power cord, and a phone power connector. The vehicle adapter is a cigarette lighter adapter, which is adapted to plug in to the cigarette lighter socket in an automobile or other vehicle so as to receive DC power from the automobile (figs. 1-4;col. 4, lines 1-61). Furthermore, the vehicle adapter is connected to the main cord, where the main cord includes components to transmit power from the vehicle adapter to the wireless phone and to transmit audio signals back and forth between the Bluetooth module located in the vehicle adapter and the wireless phone (col. 5, line 2- col. 6, line 65; col. 7, lin 9col. 8, line 65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Holmes to the communication system of Connolly in order to allow a user the flexibility to use a connection device to provide both electrical power for a wireless phone and Bluetooth-capability for wireless communication with Bluetooth device.

Regarding claim 22, Connolly et al discloses a wireless data communications device (figs. 16-17), arranged to be installed in a florescent light fixture having first and second spaced lamp sockets arranged to receive a florescent tube (col. 9, lines 2-56), comprising: a housing containing a wireless data communications radio arranged to communicate with mobile units and

other fixed wireless communications devices forming a data communications network (col. 5, lines 26-46; col. 8, lines 19-33).

Connolly et al does not specifically disclose the feature of a first and second spaced connectors on said housing arranged to engage said lamp sockets on said light fixture; and a power supply in said housing arranged to receive power from said connectors on said housing and provide power to said wireless data communications radio, said power supply further including a circuit for emulating the impedance behavior of a florescent tube.

On the other hand, Holmes et al, from the same field of endeavor, discloses a wireless phone that includes an antenna, which allows wireless communication between the wireless phone and a base station, access point or other components of a wireless or cellular network. The connection device provides both a power connection and an audio connection between the vehicle and the wireless phone. The connection device includes a vehicle adapter, a Bluetooth module, a power cord, and a phone power connector. The vehicle adapter is a cigarette lighter adapter, which is adapted to plug in to the cigarette lighter socket in an automobile or other vehicle so as to receive DC power from the automobile (figs. 1-4;col. 4, lines 1-61). Furthermore, the vehicle adapter is connected to the main cord, where the main cord includes components to transmit power from the vehicle adapter to the wireless phone and to transmit audio signals back and forth between the Bluetooth module located in the vehicle adapter and the wireless phone (col. 5, line 2- col. 6, line 65; col. 7,lin 9- col. 8, line 65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Holmes to the communication system of Connolly in order to allow a user the

flexibility to use a connection device to provide both electrical power for a wireless phone and Bluetooth-capability for wireless communication with Bluetooth device.

3. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Connolly et al (US Patent No 6764012 B2) in view of Holmes et al (US Patent No 6636749 B2) as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of Mahany et al (US Patent No 6654378 B1).

Regarding claim 14, Conolly and Holmes disclose everything claimed as explained above except the features of a wireless communications radio that is arranged to act as a master device and communicate with mobile units and arranged to act as a slave device and communicate with at least one other fixed location wireless communications device.

However, Mahany shows a typical communication exchange between a peripheral LAN master device having virtually unlimited power resources and a peripheral LAN slave device. The master periodically transmits an idle sense message indicating that it is available for communication or that it has data for transmission to a slave device (figs. 11a-11b;col. 22, lines 30-67). In addition, the master mobile network participates as a master device in the peripheral sub network, and when within range of one of the first plurality of network devices, the mobile network device participates as a slave device in the wireless premises network (col. 5, lines 1-43). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Mahany Holmes to the modified system of Holmes and Connolly in order to use access point device that can be participated as a slave device to the longer range communication, and as a master device to the shorter range communication network.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Brunner et al US Patent No 6301470 B1 discloses a radio communications receiver for detecting first and second contemporaneously transmitted radio signals representative of first and second data.

Smith et al US Patent No 6233465 B1 discloses a method and system that is provided for selectively directing calls to wireless units in an integrated wireline and wireless communication system as part of a wireless extension service.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marceau Milord whose telephone number is 703-306-3023. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian C. Chin can be reached on 703-308-6739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/758,504

Art Unit: 2682

MARCEAU MILORD

Marceau Milord

Page 10

Examiner

Art Unit 2682

MARCEAUMILORD PRIMARY EXAMINER